1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney	
$2 \mid$	JUSTIN L. LEE Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814	
3		
4	Telephone: (916) 554-2700	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
6		
7	TINIMED COAM	EG DIGMDIGM GOLIDM
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:23-CR-00007-TLN 2:18-CR-00010-TLN
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE
13	v.	TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER
14	EDGAR JIMENEZ,	
15	Defendant.	DATE: July 27, 2023 TIME: 9:30 a.m.
16		COURT: Hon. Troy L. Nunley
17	STIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and	
19	defendant, by and through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on July 27, 2023.	
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference	
22	until October 26, 2023, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between July 27, 2023, and	
23	October 26, 2023, under Local Code T4. In	n addition, the parties jointly request to continue
24	the related Admit/Deny Hearing currently set for July 27, 2023, to October 26, 2023, so	
25	that both matters may be resolved together.	
26	3. The parties agree and stipula	ate, and request that the Court find the
27	following:	
28	a) The government has re	epresented that the discovery associated with

///

///

///

18

19

27 | /// 28 | /// this case includes audio and video recordings of undercover drug transactions, law enforcement reports, and cellular telephone extractions. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time consult with her client, review the charges, review the discovery, conduct defense investigation, discuss potential resolution options, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the aboverequested continuance would deny her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of July 27, 2023 to October 26, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

Case 2:23-cr-00007-TLN Document 17 Filed 07/25/23 Page 3 of 3

4. 1 Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from 3 the period within which a trial must commence. IT IS SO STIPULATED. 4 5 6 PHILLIP A. TALBERT Dated: July 25, 2023 United States Attorney 8 /s/ JUSTIN L. LEE 9 JUSTIN L. LEE Assistant United States Attorney 10 11 Dated: July 25, 2023 /s/ TAMARA SOLOMAN 12 TAMARA SOLOMAN Counsel for Defendant 13 **EDGAR JIMENEZ** 14 15 16 **ORDER** 17 IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 25th day of July, 2023. 18 19 20 21 Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge 22 23 24 25 26

27

28